


## Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...

Answered: 17 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A lot better than expected	29.41%	5
Better than expected	29.41%	5
About what I expected	29.41%	5
Worse than expected	11.76%	2
A lot worse than expected	0.00%	0
TOTAL		17

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	For a CLE on IP, Patents and Trademarks the time spent on trademarks and the information provided was very short and nothing anyone with basic filing knowledge didnt already know.	10/19/2017 11:54 AM
2	Most was focused toward attorneys and I am an entrepreneur.	10/19/2017 8:49 AM
3	Each presentation was fact-filled and provided by experts. No wasted time.	10/19/2017 7:42 AM
4	last session unnecessary	10/19/2017 7:00 AM
5	I was disappointed that the trademark session was by video. I was hoping to talk to him during the sessions. Also, there was nothing on copywriting. All speakers were knowledgeable. One of the better CLEs.	10/18/2017 6:27 PM

## Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	94.12%	16
No	5.88%	1
TOTAL		17


## Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 1   Skipped: 16

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	There were 3 topics, IP Patents and Trademarks. The topic I was going for was for Trademarks and the time spent on Trademarks was very short and the information provided was very basic that any beginner would know. I was looking for more information to be discussed on Trademarks.	10/19/2017 11:56 AM

## Q4 How useful was the content presented?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	17.65%	3
Very useful	29.41%	5
Somewhat useful	47.06%	8
Not so useful	5.88%	1
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		17

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	I am an IP Paralegal and found the presentation by the patent examiner particularly beneficial.	10/19/2017 3:25 PM
2	I learned that antecedents found in a PPA can be used to rebutt an examiner's objection	10/19/2017 2:49 PM
3	We dont deal with IP and Patents so the majority of the day was for these two topics and not much on Trademarks, which I was looking for.	10/19/2017 11:57 AM
4	very lite on the trademark side	10/19/2017 10:55 AM
5	The content stayed focused on current rules and regulations, recent changes that affect the practice and on the everyday practice in the area of IP.	10/19/2017 10:22 AM
6	Story-telling made the topics more real.	10/19/2017 7:43 AM
7	Hearing USPTO's positions and processes was helpful	10/19/2017 7:01 AM
8	Needed more info on trademarking and copywriting	10/18/2017 6:29 PM
9	It had a good mix of substantive patent and trademark law as well as an overview of how the uspto works	10/18/2017 6:18 PM
10	The written materials hand out was awfully skimpy. The lunch was great!	10/18/2017 5:56 PM

## Q5 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	94.12%	16
No	5.88%	1
TOTAL		17


## Q6 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 1   Skipped: 16

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I would like more than the basic knowledge of any topic. We all know the basic how to file or how to. It would be beneficial to have a more in depth CLE on any topic offered.	10/19/2017 11:58 AM

## Q7 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:

Answered: 16 Skipped: 1



	EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Alberto Leon	35.71% 5	14.29% 2	50.00% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14	3.86
Ted Baroody	28.57% 4	50.00% 7	21.43% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14	4.07
Kaylan Deshpande	30.77% 4	38.46% 5	30.77% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	13	4.00
Nicholas McFall	40.00% 6	33.33% 5	20.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	6.67% 1	15	4.21

## Evaluation - The U.S Patent and Trademark Office Comes to Albuquerque


Hope Shimabuku	33.33% 5	53.33% 8	13.33% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	15	4.20
Lee Hunt	21.43% 3	28.57% 4	50.00% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14	3.71
Alberto Leon	33.33% 4	25.00% 3	41.67% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12	3.92
Joe Daly	15.38% 2	30.77% 4	53.85% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	13	3.62
Clint Reecer	33.33% 4	16.67% 2	25.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 3	12	4.11
T.J. Cook	16.67% 2	25.00% 3	25.00% 3	8.33% 1	0.00% 0	25.00% 3	12	3.67
Amy Slater	25.00% 3	25.00% 3	16.67% 2	8.33% 1	0.00% 0	25.00% 3	12	3.89
John Freisinger,	7.69% 1	30.77% 4	30.77% 4	0.00% 0	7.69% 1	23.08% 3	13	3.40
Dr. Robert Del Campo	25.00% 3	33.33% 4	16.67% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 3	12	4.11

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	Mr. Hunt's presentation seemed overly general for practitioners and overly specific for entrepreneurs; the venture capital panel seemed to have too general of a focus	10/23/2017 1:42 PM
2	I don't remember Mr. McFall participating--where was I?	10/20/2017 5:23 AM
3	I thought everyone did a great job.	10/19/2017 3:27 PM
4	Would have liked contact info for each speaker.	10/19/2017 7:48 AM



## Q8 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	36.36%	4
CLE Website	18.18%	2
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	27.27%	3
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	9.09%	1
Bar Bulletin Calendar	36.36%	4
E-mail from State Bar	45.45%	5
Facebook	0.00%	0
Twitter	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 11		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	I do not wish to receive any information	10/23/2017 1:42 PM
2	I am not a paralegal member of the Bar; would only be interested in IP-related programs.	10/19/2017 3:27 PM
3	CLE about patent law, M&A, and licensing to my email account.	10/19/2017 2:53 PM
4	I am not an attorney so I was fortunate to learn about the program.	10/19/2017 7:48 AM

## Q9 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 9

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Provide future USPTO briefings.	10/19/2017 2:53 PM
2	Please provide more than the basics of any topic.	10/19/2017 12:00 PM
3	Offer more CLE's outside of Albuquerque for attorneys who practice in other areas. Get CLE materials from all presenters.	10/19/2017 10:25 AM
4	I appreciated learning what resources in this field are available locally and what could be built up or made known to the community.	10/19/2017 8:55 AM
5	Continue outreach to the entrepreneurial communities.	10/19/2017 7:48 AM
6	my preference is to keep content focused directly around practice before the USPTO.	10/19/2017 7:07 AM
7	Better time management, not letting the same person ask multiple questions, not letting someone ask very specific questions that only pertains to their particular problem without any broader relevance to the audience as a whole. Audio could be much better with additional microphones or better use of existing microphones.	10/18/2017 7:06 PM
8	Cost the cost.	10/18/2017 6:31 PM


## Q10 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 4   Skipped: 13

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Mergers and Acquisitions NM SIC authorities	10/19/2017 2:53 PM
2	I would like to see more CLE's on topics at the intersection of practice areas such as the current issue with Allergen and the St. Regis Mohawk Tribe.	10/19/2017 10:25 AM
3	High tech stories - what went right, what went wrong. How legal support might have made the difference.	10/19/2017 7:48 AM
4	Collections	10/18/2017 6:31 PM

## Q11 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):


Answered: 15 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	60.00%	9
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	66.67%	10
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty	20.00%	3
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	20.00%	3
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 15		

## Q12 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	26.67%	4
Very likely	20.00%	3
Somewhat likely	33.33%	5
Not so likely	20.00%	3
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
TOTAL		15